

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2419 078715.00001 Helge Otto Friedrich Sahl 07/08/2003 10/617,265 EXAMINER 03/23/2004 7590 SAYALA, CHHAYA D Michael J. Colitz, III Holland & Knight LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 4100 1761 Tampa, FL 33602

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.5	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/617,265	FRIEDRICH SAHL, HELGE OTTO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. SAYALA	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a have been received	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,	
application from the International Bureau	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.
	,	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1,449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,265

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Valuable" in claim 1, line 4 and in claim 6, is of indeterminate scope and fails to define the metes and bounds of the materials that it ought to define.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisk (US Patent 3847803) in view of Schmidt (US Patent 6197081) and JP 54067073 and BE 879840.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,265

Art Unit: 1761

Fisk teaches the following steps: providing the solid waste for treatment, grinding into particles, adding sewage sludge, sterilizing the waste with steam. Fisk does not teach transferring the sewage sludge into a rotary drum for mixing.

Schmidt teaches a reactor vessel, which enables the sterilization step at elevated pressure and temperature for 30 minutes, which is depressurized after the step (see col. 11). JP '073 and BE '840 both teach that the use of a rotary drum to blend waste materials was known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Schmidt's teaching of the reactor vessel in the sterilizing step of Fisk and to use a rotary drum to blend the waste and sewage sludge, as this was already known in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 and 5 are allowable over prior art of record.

Fisk teaches the following steps: providing the waste, separating the materials, classifying them, blending solids wastes and sewage solids, grinding them down to 3/8 inch (see col. 3, line 35), sterilizing with steam (see col. 3, lines 57 +). The patent does not teach other limitations such as, scanning with a Geiger counter or removing radioactive waste materials. Further, the patent teaches anaerobic fermentation as a method to facilitate degradation of the solid waste, not an enzymatic method, although this was known in the art at the time the invention was made.

Hendricks et al. teach the removal of radioactive wastes from wastewater by using a particle change detector to identify radioactive materials. There is no teaching

Application/Control Number: 10/617,265 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

or fair suggestion that the radioactive waste can be detected by a Geiger counter or that the radioactive waste was removed from municipal solid waste.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. SAYALA whose telephone number is 571-272-1405.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Group 1700.